

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, )  
                                  )  
                                  Plaintiff, )  
                                  )  
                                 vs. )                                  8:16CR338  
                                  )  
MAXIMOS RAMOS, )                                  ORDER  
                                  )  
                                 Defendant. )  
                                  )

This matter is before the court on defendant's unopposed motion to continue trial [17]. Counsel needs additional time to explore plea negotiations. The defendant has complied with NECrimR 12.1(a). For good cause shown,

**IT IS ORDERED** that the motion to continue trial is granted, as follows:

1. The jury trial, currently set for February 6, 2017 is continued to **March 20, 2017**.
2. In accordance with 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), the court finds that the ends of justice will be served by granting this continuance and outweigh the interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. Any additional time arising as a result of the granting of this motion, that is, the time between **today's date and March 20, 2017** shall be deemed excludable time in any computation of time under the requirement of the Speedy Trial Act. Failure to grant a continuance would deny counsel for the defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) & (B)(iv).

**DATED January 24, 2017.**

**BY THE COURT:**

**s/ Susan M. Bazis  
United States Magistrate Judge**